

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL,
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

**M.A. No. 203 of 2013, M.A. No. 887 of 2013 & M.A. No. 901 of 2014
In
Original Application No.59 of 2012**

IN THE MATTER OF:

Vikrant Kumar Tongad Vs. Union of India & Ors.

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER
HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER**

Present:

Applicant	Mr. Raj Panjwani, Sr. Adv. and Mr. Rahul Choudhary, Adv.
Respondent No.1 :	Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv. for MoEF & CC
Respondent No. 2:	Mr. V.V. VARDHAN CGWA
Respondent No. 3:	Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, AAG and Mr. Gaurav Srivastava, Adv.
Respondent No.5:	Mr. Pradeep Misra and Daleep Kr. Dhayani, Adv.
Respondent No. 6,7 & 9 :	Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate
Respondent No. 8:	Mr. B.V. Niren, Mr. Ardhendumauli Kr. Prasad, Adv. Ms. Lipi Thapliyal, Adv. for MS. Sujata Kashyap, Adv. Mr. Rohit Jain, Adv. Mr. Pawan Upadhyay and Mr. Nishant Kr. Adv. for Noticee No. 8 Mr. Debesh Panda, Adv. for Noticee No. 10 Ms. Amrita Panda, Adv. for Noticee No. 5 & 9 Mr. R. Chandrachud and Mr. Rajnish, Adv. For Noticee No. 1 Mr. Ramni Singh, Ms. Priya Shahdeo and Ms. Madhurima, Adv. For Noticee No. 3 Mr. Sumeer Sodhi and Mr. Mohit Malhotra, Adv. For Noticee No. 7 Mr. Avijit Bhushan, Adv. for UPSIDC Mr. Anshum Jain and Mr. Amol Sinha, Adv. Mr. A.S. Kulshrestha, Adv.

	Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
	Item No. 10 February 02, 2015 A	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. NO. 901 of 2014</u></p> <p>Learned counsel appearing for Project Proponent submits that they would not carry out any construction without obtaining the leave of the Tribunal. He also submits that in the meanwhile they are moving to the concerned Authorities for obtaining appropriate</p>

permission for the amendment by them in relation to the construction activity. In view of the statement, no further direction needs to be passed in this Misc. Application.

With the above order, M.A. NO. 901 of 2014 stands disposed of.

Original Application No.59 of 2012

Let the Project Proponent file the Reply within two days from today. We make it clear that Project Proponent should also state as to the distance of the site from the river bank of Yamuna and what is source of water for construction with complete documentations. Rejoinder thereto, if any, within one week thereafter.

List this matter on 10th February, 2015.

The Noticees are hereby granted last opportunity to file their respective Replies. If no Reply filed by them their right to file Reply shall stand forfeited.

In the meanwhile, NOIDA Authority shall also submit an Affidavit before the Tribunal as to what is the capacity of the STPs and from where its supplying water to all the builders along with statistics for last one year of water generated for utilisation, water actually purchased and where the remnant water is discharged.

.....,CP
(Swatanter Kumar)

.....,JM
(U.D. Salvi)

.....,EM

		(Dr. D.K. Agrawal) ,EM (Prof. A.R. Yousuf)
--	--	---

